arXiv:0709.3859v1 [nlin.SI] 25 Sep 2007

REMARKS ON THE WATERBAG MODEL OF DISPERSIONLESS TODA HIERARCHY

JEN-HSU CHANG
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY
TAUYUAN, TAIWAN
E-MAIL: JHCHANG@CCIT.EDU.TW

Abstract. We construct the free energy associated with the waterbag model of dToda. Also, the relations of conserved densities are investigated.

Key Words: waterbag model, WDVV equation, conserved densities
MSC (2000): 35Q58, 37K10, 37K35

1. Introduction

The dispersionless Toda hierarchy (dToda) is defined by [8]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial t_n} &= \{B_n(p),\lambda\}, \qquad \frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial \hat{t}_n} = \{\hat{B}_n(p),\lambda\},\\
\frac{\partial\hat{\lambda}}{\partial t_n} &= \{B_n(p),\hat{\lambda}\}, \qquad \frac{\partial\hat{\lambda}}{\partial \hat{t}_n} = \{\hat{B}_n(p),\hat{\lambda}\}, \quad n=1,2,3,\cdots
\end{aligned}
\tag{1}
$$

where the Lax operators λ and $\hat{\lambda}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda &= e^p+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}u_{n+1}e^{-np}\\
\hat{\lambda}^{-1} &= \hat{u}_0e^{-p}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\hat{u}_{n+1}e^{np}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$B_n(p)=[\lambda^n]_{\geq 0}, \quad \hat{B}_n(p)=[\hat{\lambda}^{-n}]_{\leq -1}.$$

Here $[\cdots]_{\geq 0}$ and $[\cdots]_{\leq -1}$ denotes the non-negative part and negative part of λ^n and $\hat{\lambda}^{-n}$ respectively when expressed in the Laurent series of e^p. For example,

$$B_1(p)=e^p+u_1, \quad \hat{B}_1(p)=\hat{u}_0e^{-p}.$$

Finally, the Poisson Bracket in (1) is

$$\{f(t_0,p),g(t_0,p)\}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_0}\frac{\partial g}{\partial p}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial p}\frac{\partial g}{\partial t_0}.$$

One can view λ is a local coordinate near "∞" and $\hat{\lambda}$ as a local coordinate near "0" [6]

According to dToda theory [8], there exist wave functions S, $\hat{S}$ and the dispersionless τ function F (or free energy)

$$\begin{aligned} S(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n\lambda^n + t_0\ln\lambda - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\partial_{t_n}F}{n}\lambda^{-n} \\ \hat{S}(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{t}_n\hat{\lambda}^{-n} + t_0\ln\hat{\lambda} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t_0} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\partial_{\hat{t}_n}F}{n}\hat{\lambda}^{-n} \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(\lambda) &= \partial_{t_n}S(\lambda) = \lambda^n - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{\partial^2_{t_nt_m}F}{m}\lambda^{-m} \\ B_n(\hat{\lambda}) &= \partial_{t_n}\hat{S}(\hat{\lambda}) = \partial^2_{t_0t_n}F - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{\partial^2_{t_n\hat{t}_m}F}{m}\hat{\lambda}^{m} \\ \hat{B}_n(\lambda) &= \partial_{\hat{t}_n}S(\lambda) = -\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{\partial^2_{\hat{t}_nt_m}F}{m}\lambda^{-m} \\ \hat{B}_n(\hat{\lambda}) &= \partial_{\hat{t}_n}\hat{S}(\hat{\lambda}) = \hat{\lambda}^{-n} + \partial^2_{t_0\hat{t}_n}F - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{\partial^2_{\hat{t}_n\hat{t}_m}F}{m}\hat{\lambda}^{m}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} p(\lambda) &= \partial_{t_0}S(\lambda) = \ln\lambda - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{\partial^2_{t_0t_m}F}{m}\lambda^{-m} \\ p(\hat{\lambda}) &= \partial_{t_0}\hat{S}(\hat{\lambda}) = \ln\hat{\lambda} + \partial^2_{t_0t_0}F - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{\partial^2_{t_0\hat{t}_m}F}{m}\hat{\lambda}^{m}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} H_m^+ &= \partial^2_{t_0t_m}F = \frac{1}{m}\oint_{\infty}\lambda^m dp = \frac{1}{m}\oint_{\infty}\lambda^m\frac{d\xi}{\xi} \\ H_m^- &= \partial^2_{t_0\hat{t}_m}F = \frac{1}{m}\oint_{0}\hat{\lambda}^{-m} dp = \frac{1}{m}\oint_{0}\hat{\lambda}^{-m}\frac{d\xi}{\xi}, \quad m\geq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

are the conserved densities of dToda hierarchy, where $p = \ln \xi$. Then the dToda hierarchy (1) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial p(\lambda)}{\partial t_n} = \frac{\partial B_n(p(\lambda))}{\partial t_0}, \quad \frac{\partial p(\lambda)}{\partial \hat{t}_n} = \frac{\partial \hat{B}_n(p(\lambda))}{\partial t_0}, \\ &\frac{\partial p(\hat{\lambda})}{\partial t_n} = \frac{\partial B_n(p(\hat{\lambda}))}{\partial t_0}, \quad \frac{\partial p(\hat{\lambda})}{\partial \hat{t}_n} = \frac{\partial \hat{B}_n(p(\hat{\lambda}))}{\partial t_0}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

λ, $\hat{\lambda}$ being fixed. The systems (4) are of the conservational laws for the dToda hierarchy.

From (2),one knows that

$$B_1(p) = e^p + u_1 = e^p + \partial^2_{t_0 t_1} F, \quad \hat{B}_1(p) = \hat{u}_0 e^{-p} = e^{\partial^2_{t_0 t_0} F} e^{-p}.$$

Then from (4), one has

$$p_{\hat{t}_1} = \partial_{t_0}[e^{\partial^2_{t_0 t_0} F} e^{-p}], \quad p_{t_1} = \partial_{t_0}[e^p + \partial^2_{t_0 t_1} F].$$

Then $p_{t_1 \hat{t}_1} = p_{\hat{t}_1 t_1}$ will imply

$$\partial^2_{t_1 \hat{t}_1} F = -e^{\partial^2_{t_0 t_0} F}.$$

It is the dToda equation.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we construct the waterbag model of dToda type from the Hirota equation. Section 3 is devoted to finding the free energy associated with the waterbag model from the Landau-Ginsburg formulation in topological field theory. Also, the equations for conserverd densities are obtained. In the final section, one discusses some problems to be investigated.

2. Dispersionless Hirota Equation and Symmetry Constraints

The dToda hierarchy (1)(or (4)) is equivalent to the dispersionless Hirota equation [2]:

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu p(\lambda) &= -\partial_{t_0} \ln[e^{p(\lambda)} - e^{p(\mu)}], \quad \hat{D}_{\hat{\mu}} p(\lambda) = -\partial_{t_0} \ln[1 - e^{p(\hat{\mu}) - p(\lambda)}] \\ D_\mu p(\hat{\lambda}) &= -\partial_{t_0} \ln[1 - e^{p(\mu) - p(\hat{\lambda})}], \quad D_{\hat{\mu}} p(\hat{\lambda}) = -\partial_{t_0} \ln[e^{p(\hat{\lambda})} - e^{p(\hat{\mu})}], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_\mu = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{-m}}{m} \partial_{t_m}, \quad \hat{D}_{\hat{\mu}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{\mu}^m}{m} \partial_{\hat{t}_m}.$$

We can also express them in terms of the S-function

$$\begin{aligned}
D_\mu S(\lambda) &= -\ln[\frac{e^{p(\lambda)}-e^{p(\mu)}}{\mu}], \quad \hat{D}_{\hat{\mu}}S(\lambda) = -\ln[1-e^{p(\hat{\mu})-p(\lambda)}] \\
(5)\; D_\mu \hat{S}(\hat{\lambda}) &= -\ln[1-e^{p(\mu)-p(\hat{\lambda})}], \quad \hat{D}_{\hat{\mu}}\hat{S}(\hat{\lambda}) = -\ln[\frac{e^{p(\hat{\lambda})}-e^{p(\hat{\mu})}}{\mu}].
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider the symmetry constraints [1].

- Case(I): $F_{t_0}=\sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i S_i$, where $S_i = S(\lambda_i)$.
  Then near "∞" we have by (5)

$$\begin{aligned}
p &= \ln\lambda - D_\lambda F_{t_0} = \ln\lambda - D_\lambda \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i S_i \\
&= \ln\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i D_\lambda S_i \\
&= \ln\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i \ln[\frac{e^{p(\lambda)}-e^{h^i}}{\lambda}], \quad h^i = p(\lambda_i) \\
&= \ln\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i \ln[e^p - e^{h^i}] + (\sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i)\ln\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

  Let $(\sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i)=0$. Then one gets

$$\lambda = e^p \prod_{i=1}^N (e^p - e^{h^i})^{-\epsilon_i}.$$

  Morever, near "0" we also have $\partial^2_{t_0t_0}F = \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i h^i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\hat{\lambda}) &= \ln\hat{\lambda} + \partial^2_{t_0t_0}F - \hat{D}_{\hat{\lambda}}F_{t_0} \\
&= \ln\hat{\lambda} + \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i h^i + \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i \ln[1-e^{p(\hat{\lambda})-h^i}] \\
&= \ln\hat{\lambda} + \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i h^i + \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i \ln[e^{-p(\hat{\lambda})}-e^{-h^i}] + (\sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i)p(\hat{\lambda}).
\end{aligned}$$

  Then one obtains

$$\hat{\lambda} = e^{p-\sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i h^i} \prod_{i=1}^N (e^{-p}-e^{-h^i})^{-\epsilon_i}.$$

Actually, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\lambda} &= e^{p}e^{-(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\epsilon_i)p}e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{N}\epsilon_i h^i}\prod_{i=1}^{N}(e^{-p}-e^{-h^i})^{-\epsilon_i}\\ &= e^{p}\prod_{i=1}^{N}(e^{h^i}-e^{p})^{-\epsilon_i} = e^{p}\prod_{i=1}^{N}(e^{p}-e^{h^i})^{-\epsilon_i} = \lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Also,

$$H_1^+ = \sum_{i=1}^{N}\epsilon_i e^{h^i}, \quad H_1^- = -e^{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\epsilon_i h^i}(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\epsilon_i e^{-h^i}).$$

The evolutions for t_1 and $\hat{t}_1$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{t_1}h^i &= \partial_{t_0}[e^{h^i}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\epsilon_i e^{h^i}]\\ \partial_{\hat{t}_1}h^i &= \partial_{t_0}[e^{-h^i+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\epsilon_i h^i}]\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

We can also express them as the Hamiltonian form

$$\begin{bmatrix} h^1 \\ h^2 \\ \vdots \\ h^N \end{bmatrix}_{t_1} = \eta^{ij}\partial_{t_0}\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial H_1^+}{\partial h^1} \\ \frac{\partial H_1^+}{\delta h^2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial H_1^+}{\delta h^N} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} h^1 \\ h^2 \\ \vdots \\ h^N \end{bmatrix}_{\hat{t}_1} = \eta^{ij}\partial_{t_0}\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial H_1^-}{\partial h^1} \\ \frac{\partial H_1^-}{\delta h^2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial H_1^-}{\delta h^N} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\eta^{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} 1+\frac{1}{\epsilon_1} & 1 & \dots & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1+\frac{1}{\epsilon_2} & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1+\frac{1}{\epsilon_N} \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Case(II): $F_{t_m} = \sum_{i=1}^{N}\epsilon_i S_i$.
  Then

$$\begin{aligned} B_m(\lambda) &= \lambda^m - D_\lambda F_{t_m} = \lambda^m - \sum_{i=1}^{N}\epsilon_i D_\lambda S_i \\ &= \lambda^m - \sum_{i=1}^{N}\epsilon_i \ln(e^p - e^{h^i}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the Lax operator is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^m &= e^{mp} + u_{m-1}e^{(m-1)p} + u_{m-2}e^{(m-2)p} + \cdots + u_1 e^p \\
&+ u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i \ln(e^p - e^{h^i}).
\end{aligned} \tag{8}
$$

- Case (III): $F_{\hat{t}_m} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i S_i$.
  Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{B}_m(\hat{\lambda}) &= \hat{\lambda}^{-m} + \partial^2_{t_0\hat{t}_m}F - \hat{D}_{\hat{\lambda}}F_{\hat{t}_m} = \hat{\lambda}^{-m} + \partial^2_{t_0\hat{t}_m}F - \sum_{i=1}^{N}\epsilon_i \hat{D}_{\hat{\lambda}} S_i \\
&= \hat{\lambda}^{-m} + \partial^2_{t_0\hat{t}_m}F - \sum_{i=1}^{N}\epsilon_i \ln(e^{-p} - e^{-h^i}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the Lax operator is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\lambda}^{-m} &= \hat{u}_m e^{-mp} + \hat{u}_{m-1}e^{-(m-1)p} + \hat{u}_{m-2}e^{-(m-2)p} + \cdots \\
&+ \hat{u}_1 e^{-p} + \hat{u}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\epsilon_i \ln(e^{-p} - e^{-h^i}).
\end{aligned} \tag{9}
$$

3. Residue formula and free energy

In this section, we compute the free energy associated with the waterbag model of case (I) in last section, i.e., (6). Also, the relations of conserved densities are investigated.

The free energy is a function $\mathbb{F}(t^1, t^2, \cdots, t^n)$ such that the associated functions,

$$
c_{ijk} = \frac{\partial^3 \mathbb{F}}{\partial t^i \partial t^j \partial t^k},
$$

satisfy the following conditions.

- The matrix $\eta_{ij} = c_{1ij}$ is constant and non-degenerate. This together with the inverse matrix η^{ij} are used to raise and lower indices.
- The functions $c^i_{jk} = \eta^{ir}c_{rjk}$ define an associative commutative algebra with a unity element(Frobenius algebra).

Equations of associativity give a system of non-linear PDE for $\mathbb{F}(t)$

$$
\frac{\partial^3\mathbb{F}(t)}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta \partial t^\lambda}\eta^{\lambda\mu}\frac{\partial^3\mathbb{F}(t)}{\partial t^\mu \partial t^\gamma \partial t^\sigma} = \frac{\partial^3\mathbb{F}(t)}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\gamma \partial t^\lambda}\eta^{\lambda\mu}\frac{\partial^3\mathbb{F}(t)}{\partial t^\mu \partial t^\beta \partial t^\sigma}.
$$

These equations constitute the Witten-Dijkgraaf-Verlinde-Verlinde (or WDVV) equations. The geometrical setting in which to understand the free energy $\mathbb{F}(t)$ is the Frobenius manifold [4]. One way to construct such manifold is derived via Landau-Ginzburg formalism as the structure on the parameter space M of the appropriate form

$$\lambda = \lambda(p; t^1, t^2, \cdots, t^n).$$

The Frobenius structure is given by the flat metric

$$\eta(\partial, \partial') = -\sum res_{d\lambda=0}\{\frac{\partial(\lambda dp)\partial'(\lambda dp)}{d\lambda(p)}\} \tag{10}$$

and the tensor

$$c(\partial, \partial', \partial'') = -\sum res_{d\lambda=0}\{\frac{\partial(\lambda dp)\partial'(\lambda dp)\partial''(\lambda dp)}{d\lambda(p)dp}\} \tag{11}$$

defines a totally symmetric $(3,0)$-tensor c_{ijk}.

Geometrically, a solution of WDVV equation defines a multiplication

$$\circ : TM \times TM \longrightarrow TM$$

of vector fields on the parameter space M, i.e,

$$\partial_{t^\alpha} \circ \partial_{t^\beta} = c^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(t)\partial_{t^\gamma}.$$

From $c^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(t)$, one can construct intrgable hierarchies whose corresponding Hamiltonian densities are defined recursively by the formula

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi^{(l)}_\alpha}{\partial t^i \partial t^j} = c^k_{ij}\frac{\partial \psi^{(l-1)}_\alpha}{\partial t^k}, \tag{12}$$

where $l \geq 1, \alpha = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, and $\psi^0_\alpha = \eta_{\alpha\epsilon}t^\epsilon$. The integrability conditions for this systems are automatically satisfied when the c^k_{ij} are defined as above.

In the following theorem, **one uses** $\ln \lambda$ **to replace** λ, which is the dual Frobenius manifold associated with λ [5, 7].

Theorem 3.1. *Let the Lax operator be defined in* (6)*.Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(I) &\quad \eta(\partial_{h^i}, \partial_{h^j}) = \eta_{ij} = -\epsilon_i\epsilon_j, \quad i \neq j\\
(II) &\quad \eta(\partial_{h^i}, \partial_{h^i}) = \eta_{ii} = -\epsilon_i^2 + \epsilon_i\\
(III) &\quad c(\partial_{h^i}, \partial_{h^j}, \partial_{h^k}) = c_{ijk} = \epsilon_i\epsilon_j\epsilon_k, \quad i \neq j \neq k\\
(IV) &\quad c(\partial_{h^i}, \partial_{h^i}, \partial_{h^k}) = c_{iik} = \epsilon_i\epsilon_k[\epsilon_i + \frac{e^{h^k}}{e^{h^i} - e^{h^k}}], \quad i \neq k\\
(V) &\quad c(\partial_{h^i}, \partial_{h^i}, \partial_{h^i}) = c_{iii} = \epsilon_i^3 + \epsilon_i[1 - \epsilon_i - \sum_{l=1, l\neq i}^{N}\frac{\epsilon_l e^{h^l}}{e^{h^i} - e^{h^l}}].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We see that $\frac{\partial \ln\lambda}{\partial h^i} = \epsilon_i \frac{e^{h^i}}{\xi - e^{h^i}}$, where $p = \ln \xi$. Also, we have

$$\frac{d\ln\lambda}{dp} = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{N} \epsilon_k \frac{e^{h^k}}{\xi - e^{h^k}} = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{N}(\xi - \omega_k)}{\prod_{k=1}^{N}(\xi - e^{h^k})}. \tag{13}$$

In the following proofs, we use the formula (13) and the fact that the residue at infinity is zero.

(I)

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta(\partial_{h^i}, \partial_{h^j}) &= \sum_{d\ln\lambda=0} Res \frac{\frac{\partial\ln\lambda}{\partial h^i}\frac{\partial\ln\lambda}{\partial h^j}}{\xi\frac{d\ln\lambda}{dp}} d\xi \\
&= \sum_{d\ln\lambda=0} Res \frac{\epsilon_i \frac{e^{h^i}}{\xi - e^{h^i}} \epsilon_j \frac{e^{h^j}}{\xi - e^{h^j}}}{\xi(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{N} \epsilon_k \frac{e^{h^k}}{\xi - e^{h^k}})} d\xi \\
&= \sum_{d\ln\lambda=0} Res \frac{\epsilon_i\epsilon_j e^{h^i} e^{h^j} \prod_{k=1}^{N}(\xi - e^{h^k})}{\xi(\xi - e^{h^i})(\xi - e^{h^k}) \prod_{k=1}^{N}(\xi - \omega_k)} \\
&= -Res_{\xi=0} \frac{\epsilon_i\epsilon_j e^{h^i} e^{h^j} \prod_{k=1}^{N}(\xi - e^{h^k})}{\xi(\xi - e^{h^i})(\xi - e^{h^k}) \prod_{k=1}^{N}(\xi - \omega_k)} = -\epsilon_i\epsilon_j, \quad i \neq j.
\end{aligned}$$

(II)

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta(\partial_{h^i}, \partial_{h^i}) &= \sum_{d\ln\lambda=0} Res \frac{\epsilon_i^2 e^{2h^i} \prod_{k=1}^{N}(\xi - e^{h^k})}{\xi(\xi - e^{h^i})^2 \prod_{k=1}^{N}(\xi - \omega_k)} \\
&= -(Res_{\xi=0} + Res_{\xi=e^{h^i}}) \sum_{d\ln\lambda=0} Res \frac{\epsilon_i^2 e^{2h^i} \prod_{k=1}^{N}(\xi - e^{h^k})}{\xi(\xi - e^{h^i})^2 \prod_{k=1}^{N}(\xi - \omega_k)} \\
&= -\epsilon_i^2 - \frac{\epsilon_i^2 e^{2h^i} \prod_{k=1, k\neq i}^{N}(e^{h^i} - e^{h^k})}{e^{h^i} \prod_{k=1}^{N}(e^{h^i} - \omega_k)} \\
&= -\epsilon_i^2 - \epsilon_i^2 e^{h^i} \frac{1}{-\epsilon_i e^{h^i}} = -\epsilon_i^2 + \epsilon_i
\end{aligned}$$

(III)

$$\begin{aligned}
c(\partial_{h^i}, \partial_{h^j}, \partial_{h^k}) &= \sum_{d\ln\lambda=0} Res \frac{\epsilon_i e^{h^i} \epsilon_j e^{h^j} \epsilon_k e^{h^k} \prod_{l=1}^{N}(\xi - e^{h^l})}{\xi(\xi - e^{h^i})(\xi - e^{h^j})(\xi - e^{h^j}) \prod_{l=1}^{N}(\xi - \omega_l)} d\xi \\
&= -Res_{\xi=0} \frac{\epsilon_i e^{h^i} \epsilon_j e^{h^j} \epsilon_k e^{h^k} \prod_{l=1}^{N}(\xi - e^{h^l})}{\xi(\xi - e^{h^i})(\xi - e^{h^j})(\xi - e^{h^k}) \prod_{l=1}^{N}(\xi - \omega_l)} d\xi \\
&= \epsilon_i\epsilon_j\epsilon_k, \quad i \neq j \neq k.
\end{aligned}$$

(IV)

$$\begin{aligned}
c(\partial_{h^i},\partial_{h^i},\partial_{h^k}) &= \sum_{d\ln\lambda=0} Res\frac{\epsilon_i^2 e^{2h^i}\epsilon_k e^{h^k}\prod_{l=1}^N(\xi-e^{h^l})}{\xi(\xi-e^{h^i})^2(\xi-e^{h^k})\prod_{l=1}^N(\xi-\omega_l)}d\xi\\
&= -[Res_{\xi=0}+Res_{\xi=e^{h^i}}]\frac{\epsilon_i^2 e^{2h^i}\epsilon_k e^{h^k}\prod_{l=1}^N(\xi-e^{h^l})}{\xi(\xi-e^{h^i})^2(\xi-e^{h^k})\prod_{l=1}^N(\xi-\omega_l)}d\xi\\
&= -[-\epsilon_i^2\epsilon_k+\frac{\epsilon_i^2 e^{2h^i}\epsilon_k e^{h^k}\prod_{l=1,l\neq i}^N(e^{h^i}-e^{h^l})}{e^{h^i}(e^{h^i}-e^{h^k})\prod_{l=1}^N(e^{h^i}-\omega_l)}]\\
&= -[-\epsilon_i^2\epsilon_k-\frac{\epsilon_i\epsilon_k e^{h^k}}{e^{h^i}-e^{h^k}}]=\epsilon_i\epsilon_k[\epsilon_i+\frac{e^{h^k}}{e^{h^i}-e^{h^k}}].
\end{aligned}$$

(V)

$$\begin{aligned}
c(\partial_{h^i},\partial_{h^i},\partial_{h^i}) &= \sum_{d\ln\lambda=0} Res\frac{\epsilon_i^3 e^{3h^i}\prod_{l=1}^N(\xi-e^{h^l})}{\xi(\xi-e^{h^i})^3\prod_{l=1}^N(\xi-\omega_l)}d\xi\\
&= -[Res_{\xi=0}+Res_{\xi=e^{h^i}}]\frac{\epsilon_i^3 e^{3h^i}\prod_{l=1}^N(\xi-e^{h^l})}{\xi(\xi-e^{h^i})^3\prod_{l=1}^N(\xi-\omega_l)}d\xi\\
&= -\{-\epsilon_i^3+\epsilon_i^3 e^{3h^i}\frac{d}{d\xi}[\frac{\prod_{l=1,l\neq i}^N(\xi-e^{h^l})}{\xi\prod_{l=1}^N(\xi-\omega_l)}]\mid_{\xi=e^{h^i}}\}\\
&= \epsilon_i^3+\epsilon_i^3 e^{3h^i}\frac{1-\epsilon_i-\sum_{l=1,l\neq i}^N\frac{\epsilon_l e^{h^l}}{e^{h^i}-e^{h^l}}}{\epsilon_i^2 e^{3h^i}}\\
&= \epsilon_i^3+\epsilon_i(1-\epsilon_i-\sum_{l=1,l\neq i}^N\frac{\epsilon_l e^{h^l}}{e^{h^i}-e^{h^l}}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let's define $\Omega=\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{\partial}{\partial h^i}$. Then we can verify directly that

$$\eta(\partial_{h^i},\partial_{h^j})=c(\partial_{h^i},\partial_{h^j},\Omega)=\sum_{k=1}^N c(\partial_{h^i},\partial_{h^j},\partial_{h^k}). \tag{14}$$

Also, from the Theorem, it's not difficult to check directly the compatibility (or Egorov's condition)

$$\partial_{h^i}c_{lmn}=\partial_{h^l}c_{imn},\quad i,l,m,n=1\cdots N.$$

Hence one can get the free energy associated with (6)

$$\begin{aligned} F(\vec{h}) &= \sum_{1\le i<j<k\le N} \epsilon_i\epsilon_j\epsilon_k h^i h^j h^k + \frac{1}{6}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_i^2 + \epsilon_i^3)(h^i)^3 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq k}^{N} \epsilon_i^2\epsilon_k (h^i)^2 h^k \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{1\le i<k\le N} \epsilon_i\epsilon_k [Li_3(e^{h^i-h^k}) + Li_3(e^{h^k-h^i})], \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where $Li_3(e^x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{e^{kx}}{k^3}$ is the poly-logarithmic function. Morever, from (14), one knows that $t^1 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h^i$.

We remark that the free energy (15) is invariant under any permutation of $(h^1, h^2, \cdots h^N)$.

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} &= 0, \quad \alpha\neq\beta\neq\gamma; \quad c^{\beta}_{\alpha\alpha} = \epsilon_\alpha \frac{e^{h^\alpha}}{e^{h^\alpha}-e^{h^\beta}}, \quad \alpha\neq\beta \\ c^{\beta}_{\alpha\beta} &= \epsilon_\beta \frac{e^{h^\beta}}{e^{h^\alpha}-e^{h^\beta}}, \quad \alpha\neq\beta; \quad c^{\alpha}_{\alpha\alpha} = 1 - \sum_{\gamma\neq\alpha}\epsilon_\gamma \frac{e^{h^\gamma}}{e^{h^\alpha}-e^{h^\gamma}}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

If we define $\phi_i = \frac{\partial \ln\lambda}{\partial h^i} = \epsilon_i \frac{e^{h^i}}{e^p - e^{h^i}}$, then one has

$$\phi_i\phi_j = c^l_{ij}\phi_l + Q_{ij}\frac{\partial \ln\lambda}{\partial h^i}, \tag{17}$$

where

$$Q_{ij} = \begin{cases} -\phi_i, & i=j, \\ 0, & i\neq j. \end{cases}$$

From (14), one knows that Ω is the unit element of the associative algebra (17).

Now, we have the following

Theorem 3.2. *Let* H_n^+ *and* H_n^- *be the conserved densities defined in* (3)*. Then one has*

$$(I)\frac{\partial^2 H_n^+}{\partial h^i\partial h^j} = c^k_{ij}\frac{\partial H_n^+}{\partial h^k}, \quad (II)\frac{\partial^2 H_n^-}{\partial h^i\partial h^j} = c^k_{ij}\frac{\partial H_n^-}{\partial h^k}.$$

Proof. (I)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 H_n^+}{\partial h^i \partial h^j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial h^i}\oint_\infty \lambda(\xi)^{n-1}\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial h^j}\xi^{-1}d\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial h^i}\oint_\infty \lambda(\xi)^{n-1}\lambda\frac{\partial \ln\lambda}{\partial h^j}\xi^{-1}d\xi \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial h^i}\oint_\infty \lambda(\xi)^{n}\epsilon_j\frac{e^{h^j}}{e^p - e^{h^j}}\xi^{-1}d\xi \\
&= n\oint_\infty \lambda(\xi)^{n}\epsilon_i\frac{e^{h^i}}{e^p - e^{h^i}}\epsilon_j\frac{e^{h^j}}{e^p - e^{h^j}}\xi^{-1}d\xi + \oint_\infty \lambda(\xi)^{n}\epsilon_j\frac{\partial}{\partial h^i}(\frac{e^{h^j}}{e^p - e^{h^j}})\xi^{-1}d\xi \\
&= n\oint_\infty \lambda(\xi)^{n}(c_{ij}^k\phi_k\xi^{-1}d\xi + Q_{ij}\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}) - \oint_\infty \lambda(\xi)^{n}\epsilon_j\frac{\partial}{\partial p}(\frac{e^{h^j}}{e^p - e^{h^j}})\xi^{-1}d\xi \\
&= nc_{ij}^k\oint_\infty \lambda(\xi)^{n}\phi_k\xi^{-1}d\xi + n\oint_\infty \lambda(\xi)^{n-1}Q_{ij}d\lambda \\
&- \oint_\infty \lambda(\xi)^{n}[\frac{\partial}{\partial p}(\epsilon_j\frac{e^{h^j}}{e^p - e^{h^j}})]\xi^{-1}d\xi \\
&= c_{ij}^k\frac{\partial}{\partial h^k}\oint_\infty \lambda^n\xi^{-1}d\xi - \oint_\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial p}[\lambda(\xi)^{n}\epsilon_j\frac{e^{h^j}}{e^p - e^{h^j}}]dp \\
&= c_{ij}^k\frac{\partial H_n^+}{\partial h^k}, \quad n \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

(II) The calculation is similar. □

4. Concluding remarks

We find the free energy associated with the waterbag model (6) using the Landau-Ginsburg formulation. From the free energy, one can establish the equations for the conserved densities H_n^+ and H_n^-. When comparing the watebag model of dKP [3], one can't construct the recursive operator of H_n^+ or H_n^- from Theorem 3.2. Therefore, the bi-Hamiltonian structure of (7) is still unknown. On the other hand, we can construct the integrable hierarchy via (12) and (16); however, it won't be the dToda hierarchy. Finally, finding the free energies associated with (8) and (9) is also very interesting.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to Professors Maxim V.Pavlov and Jyh-Hao Lee for their useful discussions. The work is supported by the National Science Council under grant no. NSC 96-2115-M-606-001-MY2.

References

[1] L.V. Bogdanov and B.G. Konopelchenko, Symmetry constrains for dispersionless integrable equations and systems of hydrodynamic type, Phys. Lett. A, 330(2004), p.448-p.459, arXiv:nlin.SI/0312013, 2003

[2] I.Kostov, I.Krichever, M.Mineev-Meinstein, P.B.Wiegmann and A.Zabrodin, τ-function for analytic curves, Random matrices and their applications. MSRI publications , Vol 40, Cambridge Academic Press, 2001, arXiv: hep-th/0005259

[3] Jen-Hsu Chang, On the water-bag model of dispersionless KP hierarchy(II), to appear in Journal of Physics A, arXiv:nlin/0702014

[4] B.Dubrovin, Geometry of 2D topological field theories in "Integrable systems and Quantum Groups", Springer Lecture Notes in Math., V 1620, 1996, p.120-348

[5] B.Dubrovin, On almost duality for Frobenius manifolds, in Geometry,topology, and mathematical physics, American Mathematical Society Trans. Ser.2 (212), Providence, RI, 2004, p.75-p.132, arXiv: math.DG/0307374

[6] I.Krichever, The τ-function of the universal Whitham hierarchy, matrix models and Topological Field Theories, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 47(1994), p.437-475

[7] A. Riley and Ian A B Strachan, A note on the relationship between rational and trigonometric solutions of the WDVV equations, Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics, Vol. 14, No.1(2007), p. 82-p.94

[8] K. Takasaki and T. Takebe, Integrable hierarchies and dispersionless limit, Rev. Math. Phys., 7(1995), p.743